

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/199/1974

PAUL T. CLARK

FISH & RICHARDSON

PAR TORKET WESTERS BOSTON MA 02110-2804 SEGRE

G

00786071005

HM22/1218

EXAMINER

PAK, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED:

12/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/199,874

Applicant(s)

Segre et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Michael Pak

Group Art Unit 1646

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expise longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1, 6-8, 10-12, 20, 21, 23-25, and 39	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
X Claims 1, 6-8, 10-12, 20, 21, 23-25, and 39	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected t	o by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numberreceived in this national stage application from the Inter	-
*Certified copies not received:	mational bureau (FCT Aule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE E	FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/199874 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 6-8, 10-12, and 39, drawn to polynucleotides and cells containing them, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5 and class 435, subclass 325.

- II. Claims 20, 21, and 23-25, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 324.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions.

The products of Groups I and II are completely different structurally and functionally and cannot be interchanged. A search and consideration of either group is non-cohesive.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

a. Opossum Kidney PTH/PTHrP

b. Rat bone PTH/PTHrP

c. Human PTH/PTHrP

Which differ structurally and require non-cohesive searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/199874

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 17, 2000

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gronne afer